

## Faulk, Camilla

---

**From:** Schapira, Carol [Carol.Schapira@kingcounty.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 29, 2011 12:15 PM  
**To:** Faulk, Camilla  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed CrR 4.11

I have reviewed comments from judges I respect on both sides of this issue. I personally agree that recording interviews as the norm is appropriate and advances the administration of justice; The rule contemplates that witnesses, parties and/ or counsel may approach the court for protective orders necessary under CrR4.7 or as justice so requires.  
Thank you, Judge Carol Schapira

---

**From:** [Trieweiler@aol.com](mailto:Trieweiler@aol.com) [<mailto:Trieweiler@aol.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 28, 2011 5:02 PM  
**To:** Schapira, Carol  
**Subject:** Fwd: Proposed CrR 4.11

Judge Schapira;

The following is a letter I wrote to the Supreme Court today addressing the objections to this rule that are present in the letters sent by prosecutors. Let me know if you have any other questions about this rule. Thanks again.

David Trieweiler